1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION) DOCKET NO.
4	On Its Own Motion) 02-0721 -vs-)
5	ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY)
6	Reconciliation of revenues collected) under gas adjustment charges with) actual costs prudently incurred.)
7	
8	Springfield, Illinois June 9, 2004
9	Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 A.M.
10	BEFORE:
11	MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge
12	APPEARANCES:
13	MS. JANIS E. VonQUALEN 527 East Capitol Avenue
14	Springfield, Illinois 62794
15	(Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the
16	Illinois Commerce Commission)
17	MR. JOSEPH L. LAKSHMANAN 500 South 27th Street
18	Decatur, Illinois 62521-2200
19	(Appearing on behalf of Illinois Power
20	Company)
21	
22	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Carla J. Boehl, Reporter

1 Ln. #084-002710

1		<u>I N</u>	D E X		
2	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	None.				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10		<u>I</u> N	D E X		
11	EXHIBITS		MARI	KED ADM	ITTED
12	IP Exhibit 1.0		e-Do	ocket	13
13	(Attachments 1.1, 1.4, 1.5)	, 1.2, .			1.0
14	IP Exhibit 1.6 (Attachment 1.7)			ocket	13
15	IP Exhibit 1.8			ocket	13
1.0	ICC Staff 1.0 ICC Staff 2.0			ocket	14
16	ICC Staff 3.0			ocket ocket	1 4 1 4
17	ICC Staff 4.0			ocket	14
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
3	the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
4	Number 02-0721. This docket was initiated by the
5	Commission on its own motion against Illinois Power
6	Company for the purpose of reconciling revenues
7	collected under the gas adjustment charges with
8	actual costs prudently incurred.
9	May I have the appearances for the record,
10	please.
11	MR. LAKSHMANAN: Joseph L. Lakshmanan, 500
12	South 27th Street, Decatur, Illinois 62521,
13	appearing on behalf of Illinois Power Company.
14	MS. VON QUALEN: Janis Von Qualen on behalf of
15	the Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission, 527
16	East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701.
17	JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. Are there any
18	preliminary matters this morning?
19	MR. LAKSHMANAN: No, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE ALBERS: I am not aware of any either.
21	The purpose of today's hearing is to
22	well, originally this was set as a status hearing.

- 1 However, in view of Staff's direct testimony, it appears that Staff has no issues or recommends any 2 3 adjustments to the company's position in this case. 4 And, therefore, by agreement of the parties we have 5 converted this status hearing into the evidentiary 6 hearing and the purpose of this hearing then is to admit into the record the previously offered 7 8 testimony as well as affidavits for the witnesses, since again it is my understanding that no one has 9 10 any questions for anyone's witnesses.
- 11 MS. VON QUALEN: That is correct.
- 12 MR. LAKSHMANAN: That is correct.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you. With that,
 then I'll turn things over to you, Mr. Lakshmanan,
 if you would like to offer your exhibits.
 - MR. LAKSHMANAN: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.

 Illinois Power Company would move the admission of the following exhibits, all of which are on e-Docket and none of which have any changes on the versions that are currently out on e-Docket. The first series is Illinois Power Exhibits 1.0. That's direct testimony of Gary J. Murphy along with

- accompanying exhibits, IP Exhibits 1.1, 1.2, 1.3,
- 2 1.4 and 1.5. In addition, in that series we would
- 3 offer Illinois Power Exhibit 1.6 which is a
- 4 supplemental direct testimony of Gary J. Murphy and
- 5 accompanying exhibits, IP Exhibit 1.7. All of these
- are attested to by Mr. Murphy in IP Exhibit 1.8
- 7 which is an affidavit of Mr. Murphy.
- The second series of exhibits --
- 9 JUDGE ALBERS: Let me make sure I get this all
- down right. Was 1.8 on e-Docket?
- MR. LAKSHMANAN: Yes, Your Honor, it was filed
- 12 yesterday on e-Docket.
- JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine. Just wanted to
- make sure I knew.
- 15 MR. LAKSHMANAN: The next series of exhibits is
- 16 IP Exhibit 2.0 Revised, direct testimony of Mark J.
- 17 Peters. And then the accompanying affidavit which
- was filed yesterday also on e-Docket is Exhibit 2.1
- in which Mr. Peters attests to his direct testimony.
- The third series is IP Exhibit 3.0 which is
- 21 the direct testimony of Nancy L. Goodeman and
- accompanying Exhibit 3.1 and accompanying IP Revised

- 1 Exhibit 3.2. All these were attested to by an
- 2 affidavit by Ms. Goodeman which is IP Exhibit 3.3,
- 3 also filed on e-Docket yesterday.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.
- 5 MR. LAKSHMANAN: That concludes the set of
- 6 exhibits that Illinois Power would request admission
- 7 into the record in this case.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection?
- 9 MS. VON QUALEN: Staff has no objections.
- 10 JUDGE ALBERS: Very good. To make sure I have
- 11 them all down right, I will repeat them
- 12 individually. IP Exhibit 1.0 with Attachments 1.1
- through 1.5, IP Exhibit 1.6, Attachment 1.7, and IP
- Exhibit 1.8 are all admitted; as well as IP Exhibit
- 15 2.0 Revised and IP Exhibit 2.1 are also admitted;
- and IP Exhibit 3.0 with the Attachments 3.1 and 3.2
- 17 Revised as well as IP Exhibit 3.3 are also admitted.
- 18 Okay.
- MR. LAKSHMANAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 (Whereupon IP Exhibit
- 21 1.0 with Attachments
- 22 1.1 through 1.5, IP

1	Exhibit 1.6 with
2	Attachment 1.7, IP
3	Exhibit 1.8, IP Exhibit
4	2.0 Revised, IP Exhibit
5	2.1, IP Exhibit 3.0
6	with Attachments 3.1,
7	3.2 Revised, and IP
8	Exhibit 3.3 were
9	admitted into
10	evidence.)
11	JUDGE ALBERS: Anything further from IP?
12	MR. LAKSHMANAN: No, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you. And
14	Ms. Von Qualen?
15	MS. VON QUALEN: Yes, Your Honor. Staff moves
16	for the admission into evidence of ICC Staff Exhibit
17	1.0 which is the direct testimony of Burma C. Jones
18	as it was filed on e-Docket on May 25, 2004, and
19	also ICC Staff Exhibit 3.0 which is the affidavit of
20	Burma C. Jones. Staff also moves for admission into
21	evidence of ICC Staff Exhibit 2.0, the direct
22	testimony of Erick Lounsberry as it was filed on

- e-Docket on May 25, 2004, and also ICC Staff Exhibit
- 2 4.0 which is the affidavit of Eric Lounsberry. The
- 3 affidavits were filed electronically yesterday, June
- 4 8.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Any others?
- 6 MS. VON QUALEN: No.
- JUDGE ALBERS: That's it, okay. Any objection
- 8 to the admission of these?
- 9 MR. LAKSHMANAN: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Then Staff Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and
- 11 4 are admitted.
- MS. VON QUALEN: Thank you.
- 13 (Whereupon ICC Staff
- 14 Exhibits 1.0, 2.0, 3.0
- and 4.0 were admitted
- into evidence.)
- JUDGE ALBERS: Anything further from Staff?
- MS. VON QUALEN: Nothing further from Staff.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Anything at all then to add to
- the record?
- 21 MR. LAKSHMANAN: Your Honor, if it is
- acceptable to you, Illinois Power will be willing to

Т	prepare a draft proposed order and submit that by
2	June 25.
3	JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. And with any Staff
4	comments that might be
5	MR. LAKSHMANAN: Yes, Your Honor, we would have
6	already supplied that to Staff and incorporated
7	their comments at that time.
8	JUDGE ALBERS: Very good. Thank you.
9	All right. If there is nothing further
10	then, I will mark the record in this matter heard
11	and taken.
12	HEARD AND TAKEN
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	